

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,955	01/26/2004	Hideo Kato	G121-083 US	9321	
²¹⁷⁰⁶ NOTARO ANI	7590 04/05/2007 D MICHALOS	,	EXAMINER		
100 DUTCH HILL ROAD			DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA		
SUITE 110 ORANGEBUR	G, NY 10962-2100	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		2614		
					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/05/2007	PAI	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/764,955	KATO, HIDEO		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Phylesha L. Dabney	2614	•	
۔۔ Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	•	
- WHICHI - Extension after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat by received by the Office later than three months after the mail that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communical NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status					
1)⊠ :R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 26	January 2004.			
		nis action is non-final.			
· · · —	nce this application is in condition for allow		s, prosecution as to the merits	is	
	osed in accordance with the practice unde	•	•		
Diamonitian	of Claims		`	,	
Disposition				•	
·	aim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application				
) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.			
· ·	aim(s) is/are allowed.		1		
•	aim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	·			
·	aim(s) is/are objected to.	ur i ur i i			
8)∐ CI	aim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		(5. j	
Application	Papers		·	•	
9)⊠ Th	e specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
·	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.		
_	oplicant may not request that any objection to the	•	*•	•	
~ `	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			1(d).	
	e oath or declaration is objected to by the				
	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		`.		
_					
	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠	_ <i>'</i> _ <i>'</i> _				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2 .	_ ' ' '			•	
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	eceived in this National Stage		
* 0	application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
See	the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	ceived.		
			•	•	
Attachment(s)		_			
	References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)		
	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/f 5) Notice of Info	mal Patent Application \		
	o(s)/Mail Date <u>1/26/04</u> .	6) Other:	•••	,	

Application/Control Number: 10/764,955

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the application filed on 26 January 2004 in which claims 1-7 are pending.

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: sentence structure, subject-verb complement, and misspelled words occur throughout the specification, for example:

page 1 line 8, misspelled word. The word "force" should be spelled -forth--;

page 3 line 6, improper verb. The word "connect" should be --connects--. Appropriate correction is required.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,955

Art Unit: 2614

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujita (U.S. Patent No. 6,115,886).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Fujita teaches a small-sized opening and closing device which relatively connects a first member and a second member, which construct a small-sized electronics device, with each other to open and close, comprising: a shaft (1); a cam member (6) attached to said shaft, having a 180 degrees symmetric cam portion composed of a convex portion and a concave portion on one end phase thereof, and arrested its rotation by one connecting portion of either said first member or said second member; a slider cam (8) attached slidably to said shaft facing to said cam member, having a 180 degrees symmetric cam portion composed of a convex portion and a concave portion on a side thereof facing said cam portion of said cam member, and arrested its rotation by the other connecting portion of either said first member or said second member; and a compression spring (9) wound around said shaft to push said slider cam toward said cam member side; and wherein each cam portion provided on an opposed side of said cam member and said slider cam separate by the position of axial core side

Application/Control Number: 10/764,955

Art Unit: 2614

or the outside to provide each 180 degrees symmetric cam at a different position, at least a pair of 180 degrees symmetric cams on axial core side and the outside.

Regarding claims 6-7, Fujita teaches the small sized opening and closing device according to claim 2, wherein said cam case is arrested to one end portion of said shaft by using a snap ring (7) as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujita

Regarding claims 3-5, Fujita teaches the shaft (1) could engage said cam member or said cam case by using a fixed pin (col. 4 lines 13-22).

Fujita fails to teach the location or direction of a groove/hole such that the pin could be inserted. However, the Examiner takes official notice that it is known to apply a groove/hole in the manner described for pin insertion, as an alternate means of securing the shaft.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to a groove/hole in the manner described for pin insertion in the invention of Fujita for the reasons above.

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phylesha L. Dabney whose telephone number is 571-272-7494. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Wednesdays, Fridays 8:30-4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P O Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 273-8300, for formal communications intended for entry and for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft" when submitting an informal amendment.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

April 1, 2007 PLD

SUPERVISORY PARE A EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY UNITED